S			• -	- 4	
		n	ΙО	~1	•
•	u	v	16		

pension

From:

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 12:27 PM

To:

Subject: pension

Please take into consideration my opinion and concerns regarding the state retirement system. Please convey to those that are in charge of it. I have been teaching in South Carolina for 28 years. It pains me beyond belief when I compare to my teacher friends all over the north east not only how little I make, but how little I will receive in retirement. Their salaries and retirement are double. I will receive half of half and they will receive 80% of double. The cost of living is not the issue. The districts I am using for comparison are rural.

In the 28 years I have lived in this state the population has exploded. Remember when the whole state was one area code? I do. There is so much more money coming in and so many more teachers that have been paying into the system a shortage is beyond suspect.

A change must be made. Those in charge of the poor management of funds need to be punished. And no loss to teachers' retirement should be the solution. In fact a lower percent of contributions to adjust to the national average is needed as well as an increase in percentage of payout of the last few years' salaries. It is high time the state of South Carolina took care of its state employees.

Thank you.

From: Sent: To:	Friday, Septe	mber 23, 2016 11:28 AM			
Subject:	State Retirem	nent Meeting			
Sirs:					
to the fire departm demanding from a financial security i of time, looking to	nent where I raised a to a fast growing commure t would provide for us. social security and sta	n 1972 as a police office family of wife and three nity. My wife and I looke . There was never enou ate retirement to depen ement program as a be	children. The hours ed foreword to state ugh money to put asi nd on for our "Golden	were long and the retirement and the de to support us fo Years". My emplo	r any length byers told me
families future. I p		eting planned for next w military time, added to m int.			
, n we are as all of ou	ny home for the past 4 ur doctors, dentists, an	orce me to take drastic: 14 years to elsewhere in nd hospitals are present ose to our supporters.	the immediate area	. We need to stay	close where
disabilities from a	ge we need to be in cir	oso to our supportors.			
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi	ectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would b	cle in the recent past, wn. Free up that money one reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe	team of investors t ees at base salary	that are state of \$80,000
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money a be reduced by millions of	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits. please don't
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits. please don't
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens lurch. We were pr	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits.
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens lurch. We were pr	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits.
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens lurch. We were pr	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits. please don't
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens lurch. We were pr	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits. please don't
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens lurch. We were pr	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors tees at base salary eing up \$249,000,00 te retirement plan i	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits.
If I remember corr \$250,000,000 per employees for whi plus benefits \$20,0 As a loyal and sup leave your citizens lurch. We were pr	rectly from a news artic year for administration ich the budget would be 000 per year or about oportive citizen of the 0 s, firefighters, magistra	cle in the recent past, w n. Free up that money on the reduced by millions of \$1,000,000) reducing e Great State of South Ca ates, police officers and	and hire an in house of dollars (10 employe expenditures and free aroline and the others under the sta	team of investors t ees at base salary ing up \$249,000,00	that are state of \$80,000 00 in benefits. please don't

F	ΓO	m	•
•		•••	•

Sent:

Friday, September 23, 2016 10:03 AM

To:

Subject:

SCRS Comments

Good Morning,

I will not be able to attend the meeting regarding the SC Retirement system but wanted to make comments. I have been in the system since 1996 this time after being in the system for 13 years before and getting out. Deductions were mandatory and no alternative was ever given. We were not given a choice as to the level of contributions but rather were at the mercy of the legislature. Our hard earned money was entrusted to "professionals" who knew how to manage money. There was to be a return on our contributions. Instead, we were subjected to dubious investments and exorbitant fees. The only people who benefitted from these professional money managers were the money managers themselves. Billions and billions of dollars have been leached from the system. There is no guarantee that the money I put into retirement will be there when I retire. This money did not belong to the State of SC, it was my money, earned by the sweat of my brow, along with contributions from my employer. If I had taken this money and put in in a savings account. I would not have lost money and actually would have made money, although a modest amount.

I would urge state lawmakers to demand a return of fees paid to these "professional" money mangers and a movement to a reputable money manger who invests in a manner to make profits for the system members not primarily the money managers. If we are not going to make our system better, then allow members to self direct their retirement accounts, set up 401k accounts or simply put the money in their mattress. Any of these options would have better served retirement system members that allowing a bunch of thieves to rob us.

These opinions are mine alone and not the opinions of my employer or anyone else.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, restricted and/or legally privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Finally, the recipient should check this email and any

attachments for the presence of viruses.

accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus

transmitted by this email.

NOTICE: All email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to public disclosure under the SC Freedom of Information Act.

From:

Sent:

Saturday, September 24, 2016 11:08 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject:

S.C. Pension Plan Comments

Please consider this investment strategy: Invest \$20 billion in S&P 500 stock index funds and invest the remainder in cash instruments.

A November, 2015, Post & Courier (Jackson / Wren) article stated that the S.C. Pension Fund gained 1.6% for a 2014 – 2015 one-year time period. The S&P 500 stock index gained roughly 6.1% for the same period: a 4.5% difference. 4.5% of \$20 billion is \$900 million. Add the roughly \$400 million in dividends paid by the S&P stocks (2% of \$20 billion) and the \$348 million financial advisors fees to this \$900 million difference, and the S.C. Pension Fund would have GAINED an additional \$1.6 BILLION (\$1,648,000,000)!

Keep the cash amount equal to a four-year anticipated pension net payout as a hedge against short term market fluctuations.

The Pension Fund's long-term shortfall is a valid concern, but the most important figure is year-over-year net change in the pension fund's balance sheet. The S&P 500 may not gain 6.1% every year, but will outperform 80% of investment advisors in general, and obviously has outperformed the S.C. Pension Fund's current advisors.

Respectfully submitted,